Transposons: The Jumping Genes

By: Leslie A. Pray, Ph.D. © 2008 Nature Education

Transposable elements, or "jumping genes", were first identified by Barbara McClintock more than 50 years ago. Why are transposons so common in eukaryotes, and exactly what do they do?

Transposable elements (TEs), also known as "jumping genes," are DNA sequences that move from one location on the genome to another. These elements were first identified more than 50 years ago by geneticist Barbara McClintock of Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory in New York. Biologists were initially skeptical of McClintock's discovery. Over the next several decades, however, it became apparent that not only do TEs "jump," but they are also found in almost all organisms (both prokaryotes and eukaryotes) and typically in large numbers. For example, TEs make up approximately 50% of the human genome and up to 90% of the maize genome (SanMiguel, 1996).

Types of Transposons

Today, scientists know that there are many different types of TEs, as well as a number of ways to categorize them. One of the more common divisions is between those TEs that require reverse transcription (i.e., the transcription of RNA into DNA) in order to transpose and those that do not. The former elements are known as retrotransposons or class 1 TEs, whereas the latter are known as DNA transposons or class 2 TEs. The Ac/Ds system that McClintock discovered falls in the latter category. Different classes of transposable elements are found in the genomes of different eukaryotic organisms (Figure 1).

Figure 1: The relative amount of retrotransposons and DNA transposons in diverse eukaryotic genomes

This graph shows the contribution of DNA transposons and retrotransposons in percentage relative to the total number of transposable elements in each species. (Sc: Saccharomyces cerevisiae; Sp: Schizosaccharomyces pombe; Hs: Homo sapiens; Mm: Mus musculus; Os: Oryza sativa; Ce: Caenorhabditis elegans; Dm: Drosophila melanogaster; Ag: Anopheles gambiae, malaria mosquito; Aa: Aedes aegypti, yellow fever mosquito; Eh: Entamoeba histolytica; Ei: Entamoeba invadens; Tv: Trichomonas)
DNA Transposons

All complete or "autonomous" class 2 TEs encode the protein transposase, which they require for insertion and excision (Figure 2). Some of these TEs also encode other proteins. Note that DNA transposons never use RNA intermediaries—they always move on their own, inserting or excising themselves from the genome by means of a so-called "cut and paste" mechanism.

Figure 2: Classes of mobile elements.
DNA transposons (e.g., Tc–1–mariner) have inverted terminal inverted repeats (ITRs) and a single open reading frame (ORF) that encodes a transposase. They are flanked by short direct repeats (DRs). Retrotransposons are divided into autonomous and nonautonomous classes depending on whether they have ORFs that encode proteins required for retrotransposition. Common autonomous retrotransposons are (i) LTRs or (ii) non-LTRs. Examples of LTR retrotransposons are human endogenous retroviruses (HERV) (shown) and various Ty elements of S. cerevisiae (not shown). These elements have terminal LTRs and slightly overlapping ORFs for their group–specific antigen (gag), protease (prt), polymerase (pol), and envelope (env) genes. They produce target site duplications (TSDs) upon insertion. Also shown are the reverse transcriptase (RT) and endonuclease (EN) domains. Other LTR retrotransposons that are responsible for most mobile-element insertions in mice are the intracisternal A–particles (IAPs), early transposons (Etsns), and mammalian LTR–retrotransposons (MaLRs). These elements are not present in humans, and essentially all are defective, so the source of their RT in trans remains unknown. L1 is an example of a non–LTR retrotransposon. L1s consist of a 5′–untranslated region (5′ UTR) containing an internal promoter, two ORFs, a 3′ UTR, and a poly(A) signal followed by a poly(A) tail (An). L1s are usually flanked by 7– to 20–bp target site duplications (TSDs). The RT, EN, and a conserved cysteine–rich domain (C) are shown. An Alu element is an example of a nonautonomous retrotransposon. Alus contain two similar monomers, the left (L) and the right (R), and end in a poly(A) tail. Approximate full–length element sizes are given in parentheses.
Class 2 TEs are characterized by the presence of terminal inverted repeats, about 9 to 40 base pairs long, on both of their ends (Figure 3). As the name suggests and as Figure 3 shows, terminal inverted repeats are inverted complements of each other; for instance, the complement of ACGCTA (the inverted repeat on the right side of the TE in the figure) is TGCAGT (which is the reverse order of the terminal inverted repeat on the left side of the TE in the figure). One of the roles of terminal inverted repeats is to be recognized by transposase.

In addition, all TEs in both class 1 and class 2 contain flanking direct repeats (Figure 3). Flanking direct repeats are not actually part of the transposable element; rather, they play a role in insertion of the TE. Moreover, after a TE is excised, these repeats are left behind as "footprints." Sometimes, these footprints alter gene expression (i.e., expression of the gene in which they have been left behind) even after their related TE has moved to another location on the genome.

Less than 2% of the human genome is composed of class 2 TEs. This means that the majority of the substantial portion of the human genome that is mobile consists of the other major class of TEs—the retrotransposons (Kazazian & Moran, 1998).

Retrotransposons

Unlike class 2 elements, class 1 elements—also known as retrotransposons—move through the action of RNA intermediaries. In other words, class 1 TEs do not encode transposase; rather, they produce RNA transcripts and then rely upon reverse transcriptase enzymes to reverse transcribe the RNA sequences back into DNA, which is then inserted into the target site.

There are two major types of class 1 TEs: LTR retrotransposons, which are characterized by the presence of long terminal repeats (LTRs) on both ends; and non-LTR TEs, which lack the repeats.
Both the *LINE1*, or L1, and *Alu* genes represent families of non–LTR TEs. L1 elements average about 6 kilobases in length. In contrast, *Alu* elements average only a few hundred nucleotides, thus making them a short interspersed transposable element, or SINE. *Alu* is particularly prolific, having originated in primates and expanding in a relatively short time to about 1 million copies per cell in humans. L1 is also common in humans; although not present in as many copies as *Alu*, its larger size means that this element makes up an estimated 15%–17% of the human genome (Kazazian & Moran, 1998; Slotkin & Martienssen, 2007). In humans, these non–LTR TEs are the only active class of transposons; LTR retrotransposons and DNA transposons are only ancient genomic relics and are not capable of jumping.

**Autonomous and Nonautonomous Transposons**

Both class 1 and class 2 TEs can be either autonomous or nonautonomous. Autonomous TEs can move on their own, while nonautonomous elements require the presence of other TEs in order to move. This is because nonautonomous elements lack the gene for the transposase or reverse transcriptase that is needed for their transposition, so they must "borrow" these proteins from another element in order to move. Ac elements, for example, are autonomous because they can move on their own, whereas *Ds* elements are nonautonomous because they require the presence of Ac in order to transpose.

**What Jumping Genes Do (Besides Jump)**

The fact that roughly half of the human genome is made up of TEs, with a significant portion of them being L1 and *Alu* retrotransposons, raises an important question: What do all these jumping genes do, besides jump? Much of what a transposon does depends on where it lands. Landing inside a gene can result in a mutation, as was discovered when insertions of L1 into the factor VIII gene caused hemophilia (Kazazian *et al*., 1988). Similarly, a few years later, researchers found L1 in the *APC* genes in colon cancer cells but not in the *APC* genes in healthy cells in the same individuals. This confirms that L1 transposes in somatic cells in mammals, and that this element might play a causal role in disease development (Miki *et al*., 1992).

**Silencing and Transposons**

As opposed to L1, most TEs appear to be silent—in other words, these elements do not produce a phenotypic effect, nor do they actively move around the genome. At least that has been the general scientific consensus. Some silenced TEs are inactive because they have mutations that affect their ability to move from one chromosomal location to another; others are perfectly intact and capable of moving but are kept inactive by epigenetic defense mechanisms such as DNA methylation, chromatin remodeling, and miRNAs. In chromatin remodeling, for example, chemical modifications to the chromatin proteins cause chromatin to become so constricted in certain areas of the genome that the genes and TEs in those areas are silenced because transcription enzymes simply cannot access them.

Another example of transposon silencing involves plants in the genus *Arabidopsis*. Researchers who study these plants have found they contain more than 20 different mutator transposon sequences (a type of transposon identified in maize). In wild–type plants, these sequences are methylated, or silenced. However, in plants that are defective for one of the enzymes responsible for methylation, these transposons are transcribed. Moreover, several different mutant phenotypes have been explored in the methylation–deficient plants, and these phenotypes have been linked to transposon insertions (Miura *et al*., 2001).
Based on studies such as these, scientists know that some TEs are epigenetically silenced; in recent years, however, researchers have begun to wonder whether certain TEs might themselves have a role in epigenetic silencing. Interestingly, it was Barbara McClintock who first speculated that TEs might play this kind of regulatory role (McClintock, 1951). It has taken decades for scientists to collect enough evidence to consider that maybe McClintock’s speculation had a kernel of truth to it.

**Transposons Can Encode siRNAs That Mediate Their Own Silencing**

Because transposon movement can be destructive, it is not surprising that most of the transposon sequences in the human genome are silent, thus allowing this genome to remain relatively stable, despite the prevalence of TEs. In fact, investigators think that of the 17% of the human genome that is encoded by \textit{L1}-related sequences, only about 100 active \textit{L1} elements remain. Moreover, research suggests that even these few remaining active transposons are inhibited from jumping in a variety of ways that go beyond epigenetic silencing.

For instance, in human cells, small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), also known as RNAi, can prevent transposition. RNAi is a naturally occurring mechanism that eukaryotes often use to regulate gene expression. What is especially interesting about this situation is that the siRNAs that interfere with \textit{L1} activity are derived from the 5’ untranslated region (5’ UTR) of \textit{L1} LTRs. Specifically, the 5’ UTR of the \textit{L1} promoter encodes a sense promoter that transcribes the \textit{L1} genes, as well as an antisense promoter that transcribes an antisense RNA. Yang and Kazazian (2006) demonstrated that this results in homologous sequences that can hybridize, thereby forming a double-stranded RNA molecule that can serve as a substrate for RNAi. Furthermore, when the investigators inhibited endogenous siRNA silencing mechanisms, they saw an increase in \textit{L1} transcripts, suggesting that transcription from \textit{L1} is indeed inhibited by siRNA.

**Transposons Are Not Always Destructive**

Not all transposon jumping results in deleterious effects. In fact, transposons can drive the evolution of genomes by facilitating the translocation of genomic sequences, the shuffling of exons, and the repair of double-stranded breaks. Insertions and transposition can also alter gene regulatory regions and phenotypes. In the case of medaka fish, for instance, the \textit{Tol2} DNA transposon is directly linked to pigmentation. One highly inbred line of these fish was shown to have a variety of pigmentation patterns. In the members of this line in which the \textit{Tol2} transposon hopped out “cleanly” (i.e., without removing other parts of the genomic sequence), the fish were albino. But when \textit{Tol2} did not cleanly hop from the regulatory region, the result was a wide range of heritable pigmentation patterns (Koga et al., 2006).

The fact that transposable elements do not always excise perfectly and can take genomic sequences along for the ride has also resulted in a phenomenon scientists call exon shuffling. Exon shuffling results in the juxtaposition of two previously unrelated exons, usually by transposition, thereby potentially creating novel gene products (Moran et al., 1999).

The ability of transposons to increase genetic diversity, together with the ability of the genome to inhibit most TE activity, results in a balance that makes transposable elements an important part of evolution and gene regulation in all organisms that carry these sequences.
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